Audit Categories	2 (Green)	1 (Amber)	0 (Red)	Score	Comments	Suggested amendments	Revised Score
ATTRACTIVENESS maintenance	Footways well maintained, with no significant issues noted.	Minor littering. Overgrown vegetation. Street furniture falling into minor disrepair (for example, peeling paint).	Littering and/or dog mess prevalent. Seriously overgrown vegetation, including low branches. Street furniture falling into				
ATTRACTIVENESS fear of crime	No evidence of vandalism with appropriate natural surveillance.	Minor vandalism. Lack of active frontage and natural surveillance (e.g. houses set back or back onto street).	Major or prevalent vandalism. Evidence of criminal/antisocial activity. Route is isolated, not subject to natural surveillance (including where sight lines are inadequate).				
ATTRACTIVENESS traffic noise and pollution	Traffic noise and pollution do not affect the attractiveness	Levels of traffic noise and/or pollution could be improved	Severe traffic pollution and/or severe traffic noise				
4. ATTRACTIVENESS - other	Examples of 'other' attractiventure - Evidence that lighting is not property - Temporary features affecting - Excessive use of guardrail or Score 0-2 as appropriate	oresent, or is deficient; the attractiveness of routes (e	.g. refuse sacks).				
5. COMFORT - condition	Footways level and in good condition, with no trip hazards.		subsided or fretted pavement, or significant uneven patching or trenching. Large number of footway crossovers resulting in uneven surface.				
6. COMFORT - footway width	Able to accommodate all users without 'give and take' between users or walking on roads. Footway widths generally in excess of 2m.	Footway widths of between approximately 1.5m and 2m. Occasional need for 'give and take' between users and walking on roads.	Footway widths of less than 1.5m (i.e. standard wheelchair width). Limited footway width requires users to 'give and take' frequently, walk on roads and/or results in crowding/				
7. COMFORT - width on staggered crossings/ pedestrian islands/refuges	roads. Widths generally in excess of 2m to accommodate wheel-chair users.		to 'give and take' frequently, walk on roads and/or results in crowding/delay.				
8. COMFORT - footway parking	No instances of vehicles parking on footways noted. Clearance widths generally in excess of 2m between permanent obstructions.	Clearance widths between approximately 1.5m and 2m. Occasional need for 'give and take' between users and walking on roads due to footway parking. Footway parking causes some deviation from desire lines.	Clearance widths less than 1.5m. Footway parking requires users to 'give and take' frequently, walk on roads and/or results in crowding/delay. Footway parking causes significant deviation from desire lines.				
9. COMFORT - gradient	There are no slopes on footway.	Slopes exist but gradients do not exceed 8 per cent (1 in 12).	Gradients exceed 8 per cent (1 in 12).				

10.COMFORT	Examples of 'other' comfort iss	sues include:				
- other	•	icting clearance width for pede	strians (e.g. drivewav gates			
	opened into footway);	μ				
	- Barriers/gates restricting acc	ess: and				
	- Bus shelters restricting clear					
	_	ılting in noticeable ponding issi	ues/slippery surfaces			
	Score 0-2 as appropriate	3 1 3				
11.DIRECTNESS	Footways are provided to	Footway provision could be	Footways are not provided to			
- footway provision	cater for pedestrian desire	improved to better cater for	cater for pedestrian desire			
	lines (e.g. adjacent to road).	pedestrian desire lines.	lines.			
12.DIRECTNESS	_	Crossings partially diverting	Crossings deviate			
- location of crossings in relation		pedestrians away from desire	significantly from desire lines.			
to desire lines		lines.				
13.DIRECTNESS		Crossing of road direct, but	Crossing of road associated			
- gaps in traffic (where no		associated with some delay	indirect, or associated with			
controlled crossings present or if	delay (< 5s average).	(up to 15s average).	significant delay (>15s			
likely to cross outside of con-			average).			
trolled						
crossing)	Crossings are simple at a	Creedings are starward by	Ctownowed			
14.DIRECTNESS	Crossings are single phase	Crossings are staggered but	Staggered crossings add			
- impact of controlled crossings		do not add significantly to	significantly to journey time.			
on	crossings.	journey time.	Likely to wait >10s in pedestrian is-land.			
journey time		Unlikely to wait >5s in pedestrian island.	pedesinan is-iand.			
15. DIRECTNESS	Green man time is of	Pedestrians would benefit	Green man time would not			
- green man time		from extended green man	give			
9	comfortably.	time but current time unlikely	vulnerable users sufficient			
	-	to deter users.	time to cross comfortably.			
16.DIRECTNESS	Examples of 'other' directness	issues include:				
- other	- Routes to/from bus stops not					
	- Steps restricting access for a	III users;				
	- Confusing layout for pedestri	ans creating severance issues	for users.			
	Score 0-2 as appropriate					
17.SAFETY	Traffic volume low, or	Traffic volume moderate and	High traffic volume, with			
- traffic volume*	pedestrians can keep	pedestrians in close	pedestrians unable to keep			
	distance from moderate	proximity.	their distance from traffic.			
	traffic volumes.					
18.SAFETY	Traffic speeds low, or	Traffic speeds moderate and	High traffic speeds, with			
- traffic speed	•	pedestrians in close	pedestrians unable to keep			
		proximity.	their distance from traffic.			
10.01===:	traffic speeds.					
19.SAFETY	Good visibility for all users.	Visibility could be somewhat	Poor visibility, likely to result			
- visibility		improved but unlikely to	in			
00.0011555105		result in collisions.	collisions.			
20. COHERENCE		Dropped kerbs and tactile	Dropped kerbs and tactile			
- dropped kerbs and tactile		paving provided, albeit not to	paving absent or incorrect.			
paving		current standards.	and in walling of face their			
COHERENCE	Signage - Note the presence a	ind quality of route signage (no				
	factor)		0		0	
			U		U	

^{*} Definition of volumes based on moderate threshold range (600 - 1200 veh/h) contained in Figure 3.2 - Thresholds for Problem Identification on page 65 of Guidelines for Developing Urban Transport Strategies (IHT). Low traffic flow = <600 veh/h; Moderate traffic flow = 600-1200 veh/h; High traffic flow = >1200 veh/h