

From: [Arthur Hughes](#)
To: [NDE](#)
Subject: Draft National Development Framework Wales consultation
Date: 11 October 2019 08:25:49

I am writing to you about the draft National Development Framework (NDF) that you are currently consulting on.

The majority of the proposed policies seem sensible and need no further comment, but four policies in particular are very concerning to residents of Anglesey:

Policy 10 – Wind and Solar Energy in Priority Areas

Policy 11 – Wind and Solar Energy Outside of Priority Areas

Policy 12 – Wind and Solar Energy in National Parks and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Policy 13 – Other Renewable Energy Developments

Policies 10 and 11 cover large scale, on-shore wind and solar power, using wind turbines of up to 250 m in height. A section of central Anglesey has been selected to be a “Priority Area” where there is a presumption that developments will be allowed.

The Welsh Government is right to focus on renewables, and to set targets for generation from these low carbon sources. An offshore windfarm of the size of Hornsea off Hull would be sufficient to make Wales 70% renewable by 2030, including for major uptake of electric vehicles and decarbonising home heating.

However, I do have the following concerns:

1 - There is no overall economic or energy policy covering all forms of power generation available to the Welsh Government! The proposed developments appear to be trying to meet the whole of Wales efforts to address climate change using only onshore wind and solar, ignoring things like offshore wind, tidal, biomass or nuclear(Policy 10, 11, 12, 13)

2 - The selection of the area to be designated as Priority Area 1 appears to be based on lots of analysis, but the final area seems to have been made up and the explanation in the consultants’ report is poor (Policy 10)

3 - The impact on the landscape of Anglesey will be dramatic, turning a low, undulating, agricultural landscape into a “pin cushion” of wind turbines. The impact on the setting of the Anglesey Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, as well as Snowdonia National Park, will be devastating (Policy 10, 11, 12)

4 - The economic impact of landscape change will result in an “energy zone” of high land values and devalued homes which will not help social issues (Policy 10, 11)

5 - The protective measures of the planning process are vague and could be interpreted to provide no protection at all (Policy10, 11)

6 - The scale and type of generating technology, particularly 250 m high wind turbines over a quarter of the island, is simply not appropriate for an island rarely more than 100 m above sea level (Policy 10, 11)

7 - The Welsh Government's consultation on this framework has been dreadful, repeating all the mistakes of Westminster policy makers. Wales can, and should, do better. (All policies)


Please think again, rework your maps, and carry out a proper consultation, if you really want to involve the public in policy making! We don't want onshore wind developments of this size on Anglesey when there are other options like offshore available.

Many thanks

<https://you.38degrees.org.uk/petitions/anglesey-says-no-to-pylons>

Thanks

Jonathan Dean

 started this campaign on the 38 Degrees [Campaigns by You](#) website. If there's an issue close to your heart that you'd like to start a petition on, you can [start your petition here](#).

You are receiving this message because you signed the petition "[Anglesey Says No to Pylons](#)". If you don't want to receive emails from the "Anglesey Says No to Pylons" campaign in the future, please [unsubscribe](#).