

Consultation Response Form

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Your name | Mr P Owen |
| Your address | <div></div> |
| Preferred contact details (email/phone/ post) | post |
| <u>Organisation (if applicable)</u> | |

1. NDF Outcomes (chapter 3)

The NDF has proposed 11 Outcomes as an ambition of where we want to be in 20 years' time.

- Overall, to what extent do you agree or disagree the 11 Outcomes are a realistic vision for the NDF?

| Strongly agree | Agree | Neither agree nor disagree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | <i>Don't know</i> | <i>No opinion</i> |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

- To what extent do you agree with the 11 Outcomes as ambitions for the NDF?

| Agree with all of them | Agree with most of them | Agree with some of them | Agree with none of them | <i>Don't know</i> | <i>No opinion</i> |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

- If you disagree with any of the 11 Outcomes, please tell us why:

In the draft document Policy 10 gives a map showing areas for potential RE development. The overall area suggested is too large.

Wales could have around 1/5 of its land allowing RE with WG making all decisions for >10MW. The land suggested is too extensive – RE projects would have too great an impact, and this together with the large infrastructure would again add to the area associated with these projects.

Landowners/People objecting can no longer object to landscape impact/change – this is not a fair/democratic system.

This draft needs to be corrected to acknowledge a fair process before it is made into the NDP.

2. Spatial Strategy (policies 1 - 4)

The NDF **spatial strategy** is a guiding framework for where large-scale change and nationally important developments will be focused over the next 20 years.

- To what extent do you agree or disagree with the spatial strategy and key principles for development in...

| | Strongly agree | Agree | Neither agree nor disagree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | <i>Don't know</i> | <i>No opinion</i> |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Urban areas (Policies 1, 2 & 3) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Rural areas (Policy 4) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

- If you have any comments on the spatial strategy or key principles for development in urban and rural areas, please tell us:

Policy 10 - In the draft document Policy 10 gives a map showing areas for potential RE development. The overall area suggested is too large.

Wales could have around 1/5 of its land allowing RE with WG making all decisions for >10MW. The land suggested is too extensive – RE projects would have too great an impact, and this together with the large infrastructure would again add to the area associated with these projects.

Landowners/People objecting can no longer object to landscape impact/change – this is not a fair/democratic system.

This draft needs to be corrected to acknowledge a fair process before it is made into the NDP.

7. Renewable Energy and District Heat Networks (policies 10-15)

- To what extent do you agree or disagree with the NDF's policies to lower carbon emissions in Wales using...

| | Strongly agree | Agree | Neither agree nor disagree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | <i>Don't know</i> | <i>No opinion</i> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Large scale wind and solar developments | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| District heat networks | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

- If you disagree with the NDF's approaches to green infrastructure, renewable energy or district heat networks, what alternative approaches should we consider to help Wales to enhance its biodiversity and transition to a low carbon economy?

In the draft document Policy 10 gives a map showing areas for potential RE development. The overall area suggested is too large.

Wales could have around 1/5 of its land allowing RE with WG making all decisions for >10MW. The land suggested is too extensive – RE projects would have too great an impact, and this together with the large infrastructure would again add to the area associated with these projects.

Landowners/People objecting can no longer object to landscape impact/change – this is not a fair/democratic system.

Way forward is to promote how grown energy done at source.

15. Further comments

- Are there any further comments that you would like to make on the NDF, or any alternative proposals you feel we should consider?

In the draft document Policy 10 gives a map showing areas for potential RE development. The overall area suggested is too large.

Wales could have around 1/5 of its land allowing RE with WG making all decisions for >10MW. The plan should significantly reduce the RE allocation. Any RE projects which are suggested should include any infrastructure plans as one cannot run without the other.

Way forward is to promote how grown energy done at source

The NDF should always be an open and fair process – people should always be able to comment/object to something and it should be taken into consideration. It is a valid and fair point.

