

11 OCT 2011

Dear NTF team,

I am writing a letter as a separate account
to my filing in, as best I could, of the NTF responses from
highlight a particular problem with wind turbines that
receive sufficient or indeed any attention from planners
in the industry, namely noise pollution, & spec-
the problem of how frequently sound, & also infrasound
forces of which I have personal experience of at
former home, which I was compelled to leave because
of noise problem from a single turbine, (refugees)
Hawdens: sited approximately 3 kms to the west of

The fact that I shall deal solely with this problem
does not mean I am not concerned about the many
aspects of wind farms that occupy the media
debate of wind farms, environment damage, damage
local wildlife including human livelihoods (for example, the
industry) & so on but others will address these issues
separately.

I am also concerned that the NTF proposals seem

(2) our environment even more than they are already
seems to eschew the principles of top down or
exemplified by Leslie Griffith is the total over-
riding decision to override the decision of the
report following an expensive & time-consuming ^{particular} ~~and~~
rejection of the Henry Farm application at Wandsworth
development which will totally destroy an area of
natural beauty.

When I was living on the hills, in a small island
cottage, totally off-grid with no tank to it, a few
amenities, which I first tenanted in 1975, it was
of extraordinary peace & calm, & suited the life I
lived as a contemplative artist, poet & writer.

I opposed the erection of the already referred to
turbine on grounds of visual impact, ^{pure} grounds for
planning ^{was} referred to the local planning department, but upon
consideration I convinced the chairman's early role as
just 12 councillors. At the time I knew nothing of
potential problem of the generation of big frequency down
of large industrial size wind turbines, like the Central
capacity of these waves to carry over much larger dis-
tance the 500 metres allowed for even as a distance
residential housing, in my case ^{to} 3 kms away.

③ I am enclosing photocopies of letters I wrote to
Hobbs at planning, who to problem first began, etc.
Bry at E.R. (after I left, having kept a diary
experience for & last several months. These led
to sort of problem I suffered early, in the end, having
received no support from any part of the local council
to government representatives, or the original firm who
undertook the application, I even, or the firm that took
Temporary Wind, I felt I had to know & rely on

I am concerned, as I hope you will be, that the
failure of the industry planners, etc. government to address
a serious problem of noise pollution, leading to potential
serious short & long term health ^{problems}, will lead, if this
misguided policy of emphasizing individualizing rural
noise, to more individual. Experience that I have to
endure - the noise & by the way a closer to residence
more to problems of the business will increase.

I find it difficult to believe that the industry etc.
involved in every planning are not aware of these
noise & health. There are many examples of other
after similar problems world-wide - it is with
blindness not to acknowledge this.

④ victim-free, pollution-free paradise to be an ecological crisis ~~that~~ to industry probably it to be, experience shows no day ~~that~~ is a technology that harm to ~~the~~ environment & human health as well as life.

I do urge you, if you are at all aware
problems of low frequency sound, & interest, & the
on human health, like a well-being, to allow you
become aware - a few clicks and interest will
& modify your plans accordingly.

I would welcome to come to meet for
public consultation on the proposed City Council
particular. I do not think the time allotted on the
was really efficient, in view of the seriousness of the
involvement.

Yours Sincerely

Michael Pace