


**Consultation Response Form**

Your name	<b>Mr B Thomas</b>
Your address	
Preferred contact details (email/phone/ <b>post</b> )	<b>post</b>
<u>Organisation (if applicable)</u>	

## 1. NDF Outcomes (chapter 3)

The NDF has proposed 11 Outcomes as an ambition of where we want to be in 20 years' time.

- Overall, to what extent do you agree or disagree the 11 Outcomes are a realistic vision for the NDF?

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- To what extent do you agree with the 11 Outcomes as ambitions for the NDF?

Agree with all of them	Agree with most of them	Agree with some of them	Agree with none of them	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- If you disagree with any of the 11 Outcomes, please tell us why:

Policy 10 - The map within the plan shows the possible areas to develop in terms of RE. Areas are too large. Suggests around 1/5 of Wales with permissive RE policy with WG making all decisions for >10MW. Far too extensive an area which would have too great an impact. The plan does not also include the vast obtrusive infrastructure which would increase again the % of area destroyed with these projects. People objecting are informed that they can no longer object to landscape impact/change – which suggests that there would be no democracy – a plan with no democracy, is not an acceptable plan.

## 2. Spatial Strategy (policies 1 - 4)

The NDF **spatial strategy** is a guiding framework for where large-scale change and nationally important developments will be focused over the next 20 years.

- To what extent do you agree or disagree with the spatial strategy and key principles for development in...

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
Urban areas (Policies 1, 2 & 3)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rural areas (Policy 4)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- If you have any comments on the spatial strategy or key principles for development in urban and rural areas, please tell us:

Policy 10 - The map within the plan shows the possible areas to develop in terms of RE. Areas are too large. Suggests around 1/5 of Wales with permissive RE policy with WG making all decisions for >10MW. Far too extensive an area which would have too great an impact. The plan does not also include the vast obtrusive infrastructure which would increase again the % of area destroyed with these projects. People objecting are informed that they can no longer object to landscape impact/change – which suggests that there would be no democracy – a plan with no democracy, is not an acceptable plan.

## 7. Renewable Energy and District Heat Networks (policies 10-15)

- To what extent do you agree or disagree with the NDF's policies to lower carbon emissions in Wales using...

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
Large scale wind and solar developments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
District heat networks	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- If you disagree with the NDF's approaches to green infrastructure, renewable energy or district heat networks, what alternative approaches should we consider to help Wales to enhance its biodiversity and transition to a low carbon economy?

Policy 10 - The map within the plan shows the possible areas to develop in terms of RE. Areas are too large. Suggests around 1/5 of Wales with permissive RE policy with WG making all decisions for >10MW. Far too extensive an area which would have too great an impact. The plan does not also include the vast obtrusive infrastructure which would increase again the % of area destroyed with these projects. People objecting are informed that they can no longer object to landscape impact/change – which suggests that there would be no democracy – a plan with no democracy, is not an acceptable plan. We need to look at ways of generating at home, and in the business, not large-scale wind/solar. There comes a time when you can't have more of everything, and have to look at conservation and being self sustaining.

## 15. Further comments

- Are there any further comments that you would like to make on the NDF, or any alternative proposals you feel we should consider?

Yes – the plan should include more democracy, it is important to work WITH the people who live and work in these places you want to plan for.

Cannot accept that any project that allows up to 20% of its land for anything, then says that any objections to landscape appearance will not be accepted.

The plan should reconsider the reducing the vast quantity of land proposed for RE and also remove the wording to even suggest that objections to landscape appearance will not be accepted.

