

GUIDANCE, DOCUMENT

Safe routes in communities grants capital grant application: assessment criteria and weighting

Explains the criteria and weighting used to award funding for safe routes in communities.

First published: 15 December 2021

Last updated: 9 December 2022

Safe Routes in Communities

Criteria	Weighting (W)
Strategic Fit – does the scheme demonstrate a sound case for change? Does the scheme fit with policies and plans?	5
Strategic Fit – does it meet the relevant capital grant objectives in the guidance?	10
Well-being – does the scheme maximise contribution to the Wales Transport Strategy ambitions, which are linked to the well-being goals?	10
Well-being – does the scheme offer value for money?	5
Management – Is the scheme deliverable and have any milestones been explained? Have the delivery risks been identified and mitigated?	5
Affordability – what is the total scheme cost to SRIC?	4
 <£0.1m = 5, £0.1m-£0.24m = 4, £0.25m-£0.49m = 3, £0.5m-£0.99m = 2, £1m-£1.49m = 1, £1.5m and over = 0 	
Match funding – is match funding available?	4

Criteria Weighting (W) Scoring: • 0% = 0, 1%-9% = 1; • 10%-19% = 2; • 20%-29% = 3; • 30%-39% = 4 etc Deliverability – how will the scheme be procured? Is it viable? Does the 3 contract length fit with the grant terms and conditions? Monitoring and Evaluation – does the proposal include plan for monitoring 3 and evaluation? 3 Promotion – Does the proposal include plans to encourage people to travel

Scoring

5 = Outstanding

more sustainably?

4 = Very good

3 = Good

2 = Adequate

1 = Poor

0 = None or no evidence

Calculation

The weighting is multiplied by the scoring for the assessment values, which are all added together to give the final value.

This document may not be fully accessible.

For more information refer to our accessibility statement.