

31 May 2023

Dear

Request for Information – ATISN 17437

Thank you for your request which I received on 27 April. You asked for:

Copies of correspondence between Robin Filmer Wilson, his company Archimed and the Welsh Government relating to or referencing Gilestone Farm, Powys, The Green Man Festival, and Fiona Stewart (CEO of Green Man), over the period 2021-23.

Our response

A copy of the information I have decided to release is enclosed.

I have decided that some of the information is exempt from disclosure under section 40(2) of the Freedom of Information Act and is therefore withheld. The reasons for applying these exemptions are set out in full at Annex A to this letter.

Next steps

If you are dissatisfied with the Welsh Government's handling of your request, you can ask for an internal review within 40 working days of the date of this response. Requests for an internal review should be addressed to the Welsh Government's Freedom of Information Officer at:

Information Rights Unit,
Welsh Government,
Cathays Park,
Cardiff,
CF10 3NQ

or Email: Freedom.ofinformation@gov.wales

Please remember to quote the ATISN reference number above.

You also have the right to complain to the Information Commissioner. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at:

Information Commissioner's Office,
Wycliffe House,
Water Lane,
Wilmslow,
Cheshire,
SK9 5AF.

However, please note that the Commissioner will not normally investigate a complaint until it has been through our own internal review process.

Yours sincerely

Annex A

Application of exemptions/exceptions

Freedom of Information Act 2000: Section 40(2)

Section 40(2) together with the conditions in section 40(3)(a)(i) or 40(3)(b) provides an absolute exemption if disclosure of the personal data would breach any of the data protection principles.

'Personal data' is defined in sections 3(2) and (3) of the Data Protection Act 2018 ('the DPA 2018') and means any information relating to an identified or identifiable living individual. An identifiable living individual is one who can be identified, directly or indirectly, in particular by reference to an identifier such as a name, an identification number, location data, an online identifier or to one or more factors specific to the physical, physiological, genetic, mental, economic, cultural or social identity of the individual.

We have concluded that, in this instance, the information requested contains third party personal data.

Under Section 40(2) of the FOIA, personal data is exempt from release if disclosure would breach one of the data protection principles set out in Article 5 of the GDPR. We consider the principle being most relevant in this instance as being the first. This states that personal data must be:

"processed lawfully, fairly and in a transparent manner in relation to the data subject"

The lawful basis that is most relevant in relation to a request for information under the FOIA is Article 6(1)(f). This states:

"processing is necessary for the purposes of the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party except where such interests are overridden by the interests or fundamental rights and freedoms of the data subject which require protection of personal data, in particular where the data subject is a child".

In considering the application of Article 6(1)(f) in the context of a request for information under FOIA it is necessary to consider the following three-part test:-

- **The Legitimate interest test:** Whether a legitimate interest is being pursued in the request for information;
- **The Necessity test:** Whether disclosure of the information/confirmation or denial that it is held is necessary to meet the legitimate interest in question;
- **The Balancing test:** Whether the above interests override the interests, fundamental rights and freedoms of the data subject.

Our consideration of these tests is set out below:

1. Legitimate interests

The personal data is the name of correspondents in the correspondence captured by this request. There is a legitimate interest in understanding the context of

communications, and from which and to which organisations the correspondence was addressed.

2. Is disclosure necessary?

Disclosure of the personal data is not necessary for the legitimate interest, where we can provide the context of the request instead. By replacing the names with the context (e.g. WG Official 1 etc.) we are able to maintain the context for which a legitimate interest exists without disclosing personal data, which affects the fundamental rights of the data subjects under data protection legislation.

3. The balance between legitimate interests and the data subject's interests or fundamental rights and freedoms

Because the redaction meets the legitimate interest and so disclosure of the personal data is not necessary, there is no need to further consider the balance of interests, and the information is withheld.