

From: Official 2 (COOG - Planning & Environment Decisions Wales)
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2022 12:37 PM
To: Official 3 (COOG - Planning & Environment Decisions Wales); Official 1 (COOG - Planning & Environment Decisions Wales)
Subject: RE: 01341 Barry Biomass Errors and Omissions in the ES

No worries. Thanks Official 3

From: Official 3 (COOG - Planning & Environment Decisions Wales)
Sent: 17 August 2022 12:12
To: Official 2 (COOG - Planning & Environment Decisions Wales) ; Official 1 (COOG - Planning & Environment Decisions Wales)
Subject: RE: 01341 Barry Biomass Errors and Omissions in the ES

Thanks Official 2.

I'm happy to put them in a covering letter and publish that with the report – I just hadn't thought of that approach in the moment! Official 4 tells me the Appellants have already spotted some of their own errors in the ES and want to make updates, so it may be best if we do that with the letter now so they know straight away.

We'll proceed on that basis. Sorry for the slight confusion!

Official 3

From: Official 2 (COOG - Planning & Environment Decisions Wales)
Sent: 17 August 2022 11:59
To: Official 3 (COOG - Planning & Environment Decisions Wales) ; Official 1 (COOG - Planning & Environment Decisions Wales)
Subject: RE: 01341 Barry Biomass Errors and Omissions in the ES

Hi Official 3,

I take your point. I thought that it could be part of a letter that would be in the public domain.

On reflection none of these are critical and I am happy to leave them to raise later if needs be. So
Can you both disregard this email.

Thanks, Official 2

From: Official 3 (COOG - Planning & Environment Decisions Wales)
Sent: 17 August 2022 11:23
To: Official 2 (COOG - Planning & Environment Decisions Wales); Official 1 (COOG - Planning & Environment Decisions Wales)
Subject: RE: 01341 Barry Biomass Errors and Omissions in the ES

Hi Official 2

I think we'll need to try and avoid contact with the appellants about stuff like this which isn't in full view of the public etc. Perhaps it would be better if this was included as an appendix to the completeness report? I.e. things identified that don't affect completeness but should be noted by the applicant? That way everything we've said to them is out in the open.

Happy to discuss. If you don't have time, I'm sure Official 1 or I could knock up the appendix and then run past you for approval?

Official 3

From: Official 2 (COOG - Planning & Environment Decisions Wales)

Sent: 17 August 2022 10:44

To: Official 3 (COOG - Planning & Environment Decisions Wales) ; Official 1 (COOG - Planning & Environment Decisions Wales)

Subject: 01341 Barry Biomass Errors and Omissions in the ES

Bore da,

I forgot to mention that I found some errors of substance in the ES that I think are worth drawing to the appellant's attention. I am not mentioning typos, etc. Can you send the following to the appellant please?

The Inspector has found the following errors/inconsistencies in the ES, which whilst not affecting completeness, the appellant may wish to consider addressing.

GHG Assessment. The statement at paragraph 7.3.1 is factually incorrect – see Letter from PEDW to the appellant dated 28.01.22 (at ES Appendix 3.4) where PEDW suggested scoping and consultation should be undertaken.

The Noise chapter decommissioning assessment – at paragraph 7.3 – is the last BP on p.82 incorrect as it refers to new build receptors that didn't exist during the construction phase?

Vol II LVIA paragraph 1.88 refers to 4 additional design elements but it is unclear what they are from the following paragraphs (Only 3 items are listed). Can this be clarified?

There are several incorrect references to the figure and appendix numbers.

Examples include:

- paragraph 2.2.2 site location plan is Figure 1.2 not Figure 1.1
- the title of figure 5.8 should refer to Table 5.4 not 5.5
- Appendix 10.1 at paragraph 7.7 refers to Appendix 9.7, which should be 9.8

Diolch, Official 2