

From: Coward, Matthew (ECWL - Cadw) <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>
Sent: 04 September 2025 13:40
To: Henderson, Aimee (ECWL - Cadw) <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>; Weatherhead, Michael (ECWL - Cadw) <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>
Cc: Longford, Amy (ECWL - Cadw) <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>
Subject: RE: Corbett

That seems sensible but to be sure we'd need to get advice from the Fol Officer as any request for information is supposed to be considered under the principles of the Fol or Env Regs. Neither is an entitlement to documents, rather information and so lifting the content from the letter could be a realistic option. That said, if we were to reply to an information request with a copy and paste of the content of the letter I'm not sure what the issue is actually releasing the document itself. Therefore, we would probably need to ask the LPA what the harm would be in releasing the document and balance that against the public interest test.

From: Henderson, Aimee (ECWL - Cadw) <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>
Sent: 04 September 2025 13:24
To: Weatherhead, Michael (ECWL - Cadw) <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>; Coward, Matthew (ECWL - Cadw) <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>
Cc: Longford, Amy (ECWL - Cadw) <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>
Subject: FW: Corbett

Mike/Matthew,

While reviewing my recent emails I had failed to note this one from Eryl regarding the update letter to Lichfields. As you can see she has asked that we do not share the letter but is happy for us to share the content.

If we receive further queries re. the LBC application could we say that we have been advised that the LPA Conservation Officer has requested further information be submitted for the application, following concerns raised in the consultation period, and that a further consultation period will follow once this has been received. Then refer them back to the LPA if they want a copy of the advice letter.

Alternatively, if this is potentially risking us being perceived as commenting on the application, should be just refer them straight to Eryl.

Best wishes,
Aimee.

From: Eryl Williams (AMG) <[REDACTED]@gwynedd.llyw.cymru>
Sent: 19 August 2025 14:54
To: Henderson, Aimee (ECWL - Cadw) <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>; Longford, Amy (ECWL - Cadw) <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>;
Subject: RE: Corbett

Hi Aimee,

No these letters only go onto the actual paper copy and not live, and so I would ask that you don't share the letter with others but am happy for you to share the contents, i, e that I've requested further information.

Jon Avent has e-mail me today asking about the application so I will be replying later on today to say that I've requested further information and upon receipt of that a period of re-consultation will take place.

Eryl

Eryl Williams

Uwch Swyddog Cadwraeth / Senior Conservation Officer

Cyngor Gwynedd Council

Ffordd y Cob

Pwllheli

Gwynedd

LL53 5AA

From: Aimee Henderson <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>;

Sent: 19 August 2025 14:01

To: Eryl Williams (AMG) <[REDACTED]@gwynedd.llyw.cymru>; Amy Longford <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>;

Subject: RE: Corbett

Thanks very much for copying me into this Eryl. Will it be going live on the website as well?

Aimee.

From: Eryl Williams (AMG) <[REDACTED]@gwynedd.llyw.cymru>

Sent: 19 August 2025 10:33

To: Longford, Amy (ECWL - Cadw) <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>; Henderson, Aimee (ECWL - Cadw) <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>

Subject: RE: Corbett

Good morning Amy,

Here is a copy of the letter that was sent out to the agents last week.

Many thanks for the report – much appreciated.

Eryl

Eryl Williams

Uwch Swyddog Cadwraeth / Senior Conservation Officer

Cyngor Gwynedd Council

Ffordd y Cob

Pwllheli

Gwynedd

LL53 5AA

From: Amy Longford <[REDACTED]@gov.wales>
Sent: 18 August 2025 10:12
To: Eryl Williams (AMG) <[REDACTED]@gwynedd.llyw.cymru>; Aimee Henderson
<[REDACTED]@gov.wales>
Subject: Corbett

Hi Eryl,

Just following up from our conversation the other week on the amendments to the Corbett Arms, I have been [REDACTED] [asked] to confirm its not substantial demolition. As we discussed you were going to ask for the extent of demolition to be reduced and will write to the applicant formerly to request a reduction in extent, this would put to be the argument over demolition v alteration discussion. I've had a look on the website and can't see any new correspondence, I can give another holding response [REDACTED], but just wondered if you have sent the response so I can respond more accurately [REDACTED].

Hope this is ok,

I've also attached the LB report for Mamhilad, looking at it again, the para's that would be helpful are.... Para's 18,19, 24 -28, 39, 43 (assessment of each criteria in 5.13) para 67.

Essentially, the report should explain why its an 88(a) not (b) application and therefore why the tests in 5.13 and not 5.15 in TAN 24 are being applied.

[REDACTED]

Amy

Amy Longford IHBC MRTPI

Uwch Arolygydd Adeiladau Hanesyddol, Parciau a Gerddi
/ Senior Inspector of Historic Buildings, Parks and Gardens
Amgylchedd Hanesyddol / Historic Environment
Cadw
Llywodraeth Cymru / Welsh Government
Ffôn / Tel: